

Extensions of Sets of Markov Operators Under Epistemic Irrelevance

Damjan Škulj
University of Ljubljana

ISIPTA 2019
Ghent, 6 July 2019

Markov operators

Definition

Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be sets of gambles. A **Markov operator** is a linear operator $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, such that:

- 1 if $f \leq g$ then $Tf \leq Tg$;
- 2 $T1_{\mathcal{X}} = 1_{\mathcal{X}}$.

A **set of Markov operators** \mathcal{T} can specify a probabilistic model by requiring:

- 1 if f is a **desirable** gamble, then Tf is also desirable **for every** $T \in \mathcal{T}$;
- 2 if f is **undesirable**, then $T \in \mathcal{T}$ **exists** such that Tf is undesirable.

A set of (almost) desirable gambles \mathcal{D} satisfying the above requirements is said to be **generated** by \mathcal{T} .

In general, multiple such sets exist.



Examples

Sets of Markov operators can be combined to achieve certain properties of probabilistic models.

Some motivating examples:

- 1 **Conditional expectation** $E_p(f|\mathcal{B})$ of a desirable gamble f is usually also considered desirable. (If $p \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{X}\}$, this model is equivalent to the model given by the credal set \mathcal{M} .)
- 2 **Symmetry** with respect to elements of \mathcal{Y} for the gambles

$$f: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

can be modelled by requiring that the set of desirable gambles is generated by the set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$ of all operators T_σ of the form

$$[P_\sigma f](x, y) = f(x, \sigma(y)),$$

where σ is a permutation on \mathcal{Y} .



Extension of imprecise probabilistic models

We consider specific question of extending a probabilistic model on \mathcal{X} to a larger space, such as $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ (or $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Z}$).

	set	gambles	desirable gambles	operators
space	\mathcal{X}	$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X})$	$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$	\mathcal{T}
extended space	$\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$	$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$	$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$	$\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = ?$

How can we construct an extension $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ of \mathcal{T} that generates $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$?

The extension $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ depends on the type of extension $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$.



Example – strong products

Take for example the **strong product** of credal sets \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} on separate spaces \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} :

	set	credal sets	operators
space	\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}	\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}	\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}
extended space	$\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$	$\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$	$\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{S}$

where

$$\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{S} = \{T \otimes S : T \in \mathcal{T}, S \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

and \otimes denotes the **tensor product** of operators.

Note:

The structure of the model, i.e. independent product, **must be pre-specified** – not every $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{S}$ -generated credal set is an independent product of its marginals.

Epistemic irrelevance

- A set of desirable gambles $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ satisfies **epistemic irrelevance** $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ if for every \mathcal{X} -measurable gamble f the following conditions are equivalent:
 - $f \in \mathcal{D}$;
 - $I_y f \in \mathcal{D}$ for every $y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Remark

A gamble f is \mathcal{X} -measurable if $f(x, y) = f(x, y')$ for every $y, y' \in \mathcal{Y}$.

- Epistemic irrelevance combines **two properties**:
 - symmetry w.r.t. \mathcal{Y} ;
 - the sum $f = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} I_y f$ is only desirable if all $I_y f$ are desirable.

University of Ljubljana



Example – symmetry alone does not imply irrelevance

Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}$.

Consider the following gambles:

$$f: \begin{array}{c|cc} & Y=0 & Y=1 \\ \hline X=0 & -1 & -1 \\ X=1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}, \text{ which is } \mathcal{X}\text{-measurable;}$$

$$I_{(Y=0)}f: \begin{array}{c|cc} & Y=0 & Y=1 \\ \hline X=0 & -1 & 0 \\ X=1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}, \quad I_{(Y=1)}f: \begin{array}{c|cc} & Y=0 & Y=1 \\ \hline X=0 & 0 & -1 \\ X=1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$$



Take two probability mass functions:

$$p_1: \begin{array}{c|cc} & Y=0 & Y=1 \\ \hline X=0 & 3/16 & 3/16 \\ X=1 & 2/16 & 8/16 \end{array}, \quad p_2: \begin{array}{c|cc} & Y=0 & Y=1 \\ \hline X=0 & 3/16 & 3/16 \\ X=1 & 8/16 & 2/16 \end{array},$$

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{p_1, p_2\}$ and then

$$\underline{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(f) = 4/16, \underline{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(I_{(Y=0)}f) = -1/16, \underline{P}_{\mathcal{M}}(I_{(Y=1)}f) = -1/16.$$

So we have that

- $I_{(Y=0)}f$ and $I_{(Y=1)}f$ are undesirable,
- while $f = I_{(Y=0)}f + I_{(Y=1)}f$ is desirable.

Thus, even if there is clear **symmetry** with respect to \mathcal{Y} , **we do not have** epistemic irrelevance.

University of Ljubljana



Additive independent extension

In addition to symmetry we need a new property to guarantee epistemic irrelevance:

Definition (Additive independent extension)

A set \mathcal{D} is an **additive independent extension** of $\{\mathcal{D}_i \subset \mathcal{G}_i\}_{i \in I}$ if

- $f \in \mathcal{D}$;
- $f = \sum_{i \in I} f_i$, $f_i \in \mathcal{G}_i$

imply that $\exists i \in I: f_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$.

A sum of undesirable gambles cannot be desirable.



Epistemic irrelevant additive independent extension

- Let \mathcal{T} be a set of Markov operators on $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X})$.
- We construct the following set of Markov operators:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = \left\{ \tilde{T} : \tilde{T}f = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} I_y T_y f(\cdot, y), T_y \in \mathcal{T} \forall y \in \mathcal{Y} \right\}.$$

- Restricted to $G(\mathcal{X}|y)$ that is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X})$, \tilde{T} acts as \mathcal{T} .
- Hence, the generated sets of desirable gambles $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X}|y)$ are all generated by \mathcal{T} , yet are not necessarily equal, as \mathcal{T} can have multiple generated models.
- So, we additionally need to require **symmetry**, which we can do by adding the set of **permutation operators**.

University of Ljubljana



Main result

Let \mathcal{D} be a set of desirable gambles that is an additive independent extension of $\{\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X}|y) : y \in \mathcal{Y}\}$.

Then the following are equivalent:

- 1 \mathcal{D} satisfies epistemic irrelevance $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$;
- 2 \mathcal{D} is generated by $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \cup \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$;
- 3 two sets of desirable gambles
 - $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$: generated by \mathcal{T} and
 - $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})$: generated by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$ (only required to be symmetric w.r.t. \mathcal{Y})
 exist so that every $f \in \mathcal{D}$ can be written in the form:

$$f = f_{\mathcal{Y}} + \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} l_y f_y,$$

where $f_{\mathcal{Y}} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})$ and $f_y \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$ for every $y \in \mathcal{Y}$.



Further work

- The advantage of operators approach is that the probabilistic model (even imprecise) does not need to be fully specified – we can only have a set of (local) conditional models, which we can easily extend to larger (global) probability spaces.
- Moreover, additional requirements, such as symmetry, can easily be given in terms of additional sets of operators.
- This can be useful in models where compatible probabilistic models need to be constructed based on conditional models only.
- Such examples include **stochastic processes, credal networks, probability trees** and others.
- In particular, it would be interesting to impose requirements such as time homogeneity or Markov property in terms of Markov operators.

University of Ljubljana

